

THE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT FOR TANK WELD METAL LAYING OF PIPELINES AND PETROLEUM FACILITY @ RIO BUENO, LOT 3, HOLLAND HILL, TRELAWNY



DATE:2008 MarchCLIENT:Tank Weld MetalWORK DONE BY:EnviroPlanners Limited
20 West Kings House Road
Kingston 10

Composition of Team

NAME	POSITION	EXPERIENCE
Balfour Denniston	CEO (Chemical Engineer, PMP)	35 yrs
Roberto Machado	Senior Engineer , PE (Civil Engineer)	40 yrs
Timon Waugh	Consultant (Environmentalist)	20 yrs
Rosie Fisher	Consultant (Marketing & Communication Specialist)	16 yrs
Micheal White	Hydrogeologist	30 yrs
Ivan Lowe	Hydrologist	35 yrs
Micheal Rodriguez	Consultant	25 yrs

FORMAT OF CURRICULUM VITAE (CV) FOR PROPOSED PROFESSIONAL STAFF

Proposed position:	Consultant	
Name of Firm:	Enviroplanners Limite	ed
Name of Staff:	Mr. Timon Waugh	
Profession:	Environmental Scient	ist
Date of Birth:	September 11, 1963	
Years with Firm/entity	: 3 years Nation	ality: Jamaican
Membership in Profes	sional Societies:	Jamaica Institute of Environmental Professionals
		Jamaica Society of Scientist & Technologist
Detailed tasks Assign	ed: Chief Project	Coordinator/Project Manager
Key Qualifications:	M Phil Applie	ed Environmental Chemistry
	BSc Applied	Chemistry
Certification:	RABQSA Certified L Project Managemen ISO 14001 & 9001 Ti ISO 14001 EMS Imp	ead Auditor t ainer lementation

FORMAT OF CURRICULUM VITAE (CV) FOR PROPOSED PROFESSIONAL STAFF

Proposed position:	Chief Executive Officer
Name of Firm:	Enviroplanners Limited
Name of Staff:	Mr. Balfour Denniston
Profession:	Chemical Engineer
Date of Birth:	November 16, 1951

Years with Firm/entity: 17years Nationality: Jamaican

Membership in Professional Societies:

Doctor Bird Chapter of Project Management, Project Management Institute (PMI), Jamaica Institute of Engineers

Detailed tasks Assigned: Project Management, Engineering

Key Qualifications:

Project Management Diploma, Boston University & University of New Orleans, Project Management Professional Certification, Project Management Institute, BSc. Chemical Engineering, New Jersey Institute of Technology, Post Graduate Diploma in Education, University of Huddersfied, England, Diploma in Landfill Management, Chal\mers University, Sweden

Employment Record:

1989 – Present -1989 – 1992 -

EnviroPlanners Limited Life of Jamaica

Languages: English, Spanish

FORMAT OF CURRICULUM VITAE (CV) FOR PROPOSED PROFESSIONAL STAFF

Proposed position:	Director of Engineering Services
Name of Firm:	Enviroplanners Limited
Name of Staff:	Roberto Machado
Profession:	Civil Engineer
Date of Birth:	July 19, 1948
Years with Firm/entity: 3 ye	ears Nationality: Cuban
Membership in Profession Land Surveyor	al Societies: Professional Engineer, Certified
Detailed tasks Assigned:	Engineering
Key Qualifications:	
MSc. Civil Engineering BSc. Civil Engineering	
ovment Record	

Employment Record:

2003 – Present - EnviroPlanners Limited

Languages: Spanish, English

Name:	Micheal White
Nationality:	Jamaican
Profession:	Hydrogeologist
Name of Firm:	HYDROLOGY CONSULTANTS LIMITED
Contact Details:	HYDROLOGY CONSULTANTS LIMITED

1b Easton Avenue, Cottage #1, Kingston 5, Jamaica Phone: (876) 978-4102, 978-0214; Fax: (876) 927-8000 hydoconsult@kasnet.com

Name:	Ivan Lowe
Nationality:	Jamaican
Profession:	Hydrologist
Name of Firm:	HYDROLOGY CONSULTANTS LIMITED
Contact Details:	HYDROLOGY CONSULTANTS LIMITED
	1b Easton Avenue, Cottage #1, Kingston 5, Jamaica
	Phone: (876) 978-4102, 978-0214; Fax: (876) 927-8000
	hydoconsult@kasnet.com

PROJECT OF TANK-WELD LIMITED Kingston, Jamaica

HYDROLOGY COMPONENT Environmental Impact Assessment LPG Pipeline, Storage & Filling Plant Rio Bueno, Trelawny, Jamaica

2008 March

prepared for

ENVIRO PLANNERS LIMITED

2 Goodwood Terrace, Kingston 8, Jamaica

By

HYDROLOGY CONSULTANTS LIMITED

1b Easton Avenue, Cottage #1, Kingston 5, Jamaica Phone: (876) 978-4102, 978-0214; Fax: (876) 927-8000 hydoconsult@kasnet.com

CONTENTS

	List	of Contents(i)
	List	of Tables(ii)
	List	of Figures(ii)
1.	INT	RODUCTION1-1
	1.1	Background 1-1
	1.2	Objectives and Scope
	1.3	Approach and Methodology1-3
	1.4	Acknowledgement
2.	HYL	DROLOGICAL CONTEXT
	2.1	Geomorphology
	2.2	Hydro-stratigraphy2-2
	2.3	Surfacewater Hydrology
	2.4	Groundwater Hydrology
3.	SUR	FACE DRAINAGE
	3.1	Catchment Area
	3.2	Drainage Patterns
	3.3	Determination of Peak Discharges
	3.4	Adequacy of Southern Road-side Drain
	3.5	Flooding Potential
4.	DISI	POSAL OF TREATED SEWAGE EFFLUENT
5.	WA	FER SUPPLY
6.	SUM	IMARY OF RESULTS
7.	REF	ERENCES

LIST OF TABLES

3-1	24-hour Rainfall for various Return Periods at Braco, Trelawny	3-4
3-2	Typical Time Distribution for 24-hour Rainfall in Jamaica	3-5
3-3	Computation of Peak Discharges for the Holland Hill Catchment	3-6
3-4	Flow depths in the southern road-side drain of the North Coast Highway At Holland Hill	3-9

LIST OF FIGURES

1-1	Location of the proposed LPG Facilities in Rio Bueno1-1
2-1	Geomorphological sub-divisions of the Rio Bueno Project Area2-1
2-2	Hydro-stratigraphic Map of the Rio Bueno Project Area
2-3	Hydrogeological Sections through the Rio Bueno Project Area 2-3
3-1	Location of the Holland Hill Catchment, Trelawny
3-2	Pattern of Surface Drainage from the site of the LPG Terminal, Holland Hill 3-3
3-3	Relationship between the Station Factor and the depth of flow in a channel 3-7
3-4	Section of the North Coast Highway which forms the southern boundary of the LPG Terminal
4-1	Potential Green Area at LPG Terminal

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Tank-Weld proposes to construct a Liquid Petroleum Gas (LPG) Facility on a 1.07 hectare (2.64 acre) site at Holland Hill above the town of Rio Bueno, in the parish of Trelawny. The approximate location of the site is shown in **Figure 1-1**.





The main components of the proposed LPG facilities are as follows: -

• Port facilities in the Rio Bueno Harbour to receive the LPG from marine tankers, which is already being constructed and is outside the Terms of Reference of this assignment;

- A Product Storage and Loading Terminal at Holland Hill;
- A buried, 204mm (8inch) ID Product Receipt Pipeline linking the Port to the Product Storage and Loading Terminal;
- Water Storage Tank with a holding capacity of 200,000 imperial gallons, for purposes of fire-fighting, and
- A Sewage Treatment Facility incorporating a Bio-digester Septic Tank, Reed Beds, Chlorination chamber and disposal by re-use of the treated effluent for on-site irrigation.

The location of the port facilities, the Storage/Loading Terminal and the alignment of the transmission pipeline are also shown on **Figure 1-1**. The Water Storage Tank and Sewage Treatment Plant are to be sited within the boundary of the Storage/Loading Terminal.

Enviro Planners Ltd (EPL) has been contracted by Tank-Weld to prepare an Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) of the LPG Pipeline and Storage/Loading facilities at Rio Bueno. Hydrology Consultants Limited (HydroConsult) has been sub-contracted by EPL to conduct the Hydrology Component of the EIA, the results of which are incorporated in this report.

1.2 Objectives and Scope

The Hydrology Component of the EIA has the following objectives and scope as defined/abstracted from the Terms of Reference for the project, approved by the National Environmental and Planning Agency (NEPA): -

Task #2: Description of the Environment/baseline Studies data Collection and Interpretation.

 Detailed description of the existing soil, geology, geomorphology, landscape, aesthetic values and hydrology, as it would relate to the stability and integrity of the pipeline and tank farm; Special emphasis to be placed on storm water runoff, drainage patterns, aquifer characteristics, effects on groundwater and availability of potable water.

Task #3: Policy, Legislative and Regulatory Considerations.

 (iii) The pertinent regulations and standards relating to surfacewater and groundwater availability and water quality, drainage and/or flooding;

Task #4: Identification and Assessment/Analysis of Potential Impacts

- (iv) Identification of the nature, severity, size and extent of potential direct, indirect and cumulative impacts (for terrestrial and aquatic environments) during the preconstruction, construction and operational phases of the development as they relate to (but are not restricted by) the following: -
 - Change in drainage patterns;
 - Flooding potential;
 - Pollution of potable, coastal, marine, surfacewater and groundwater;
 - Landscape impacts of excavation and construction, and
 - Loss of and damage to geological and palaeontological features
- (v) Distinguish between significant positive and negative impacts, reversible and irreversible, direct and indirect, long term and immediate impacts, as well as avoidable impacts;
- (vi) Characterisation of the extent and quality of the available data, explaining significant information deficiencies, assumptions and any uncertainties associated with the predictions of impacts.

Task #5: Drainage Assessment

(vii) An assessment of Storm water Drainage shall be conducted to include consideration of the drainage of the site during construction and during operation, and mitigation for sedimentation to the aquatic environment.

1.3 Approach and Methodology

Implementation of the terms of reference of this study involved execution of the following tasks: -

- Review of the Project Description to understand the nature and components of the structures and activities of the proposed development, as well as examination of the Terms of Reference with a view to identifying those aspects of the Terms of Reference that were to be addressed by the Hydrology Component of the EIA;
- (ii) Collation of available maps, plans, reports and data of relevance to the project and their review by desk study to understand the hydrological framework and to guide field investigation of the development site and its environs;
- (iii) Field reconnaissance of the development site and its environs to confirm the desk study interpretation and collect such additional data as was possible, including onsite discussions with the Project Engineer and
- (iv) Analysis, interpretation and preparation of draft project report, which was reviewed by EPL before completion and issue of the final report.

The respective data sources are referenced and the analytical methodologies used described in the relevant sections of the report.

1.4 Acknowledgements

Hydrology Consultants Ltd is grateful for the cooperation and/or assistance in the implementation of this assignment provided by members of the organisations listed below: -

National Works Agency Jamaica Meteorological Office Water Resources Authority Tankweld Construction Ltd Industrial Gases Limited Enviro Planners Ltd

2. HYDROLOGICAL FRAMEWORK

2.1 Geomorphology

The Rio Bueno project area consists of the swampy, coastal flood plain of the Rio Bueno and the adjoining limestone upland to the NW, including the Rio Bueno town and the area of Holland Hill, as shown in **Figure 2-1**.

Figure 2-1: GEOMORPHOLOGICAL SUB-DIVISIONS OF THE RIO BUENO PROJECT AREA



The valley occupied by the Rio Bueno flood plain forms a depression in coastal uplands that extends almost to the coastline. The 1:12,500 topographic sheet published by the Jamaica Survey Department indicated ground surface elevations generally less than 8m (25 ft) amsl. The very steep valley walls suggest the influence of faulting, but this is not supported by the geological map of the area. The Rio Bueno port development is located at the north-western corner of the flood plain, on the left bank of the river.

To the west of the Rio Bueno flood plain, the ground surface rises steeply to an elevation of about 122m (400 ft) amsl in the Holland Hill area. This upland area is made up of two distinct terraces, which roughly parallels the coastline to the north, believed to be formed by raised reefs. The inland limit of the lower terrace is marked by a 15m (50 ft) high cliff line at an elevation of 38m (125 ft) and the upper terrace by a similar 15m (50 ft) high cliff line at 76m (250 ft). The upper terrace has a N-S width of about 0.6 km, gradually sloping north through that distance down to the cliff line at 38m (125 ft), whereas that of the lower terrace slopes through 0.3 km distance down to the coastline.

The proposed alignment of the Product Receipt Pipeline leads from the port, south along the western edge of the Rio Bueno flood plain to a juncture with the North Coast Highway and then sharply to the NW up-slope to Holland Hill paralleling the northern edge of the North Coast Highway. The LPG Storage/Loading Terminal is to be located on the upper terrace.

2.2 Hydro-stratigraphy

Two hydro-stratigraphic units are of direct relevance to the project – the Rio Bueno alluvium aquiclude and the Coastal aquiclude mapped by the Mines & Geology Division (1974) as Quaternary Alluvium and Coastal Group, respectively. The Product Receipt Pipeline is to be constructed within reclaimed coastal wetland developed on the alluvium aquifer as it traverses the Rio Bueno port and through Coastal aquiclude up to Holland Hill. The LPG Storage/Loading Terminal is to be constructed on Coastal aquiclude at Holland Hill. A hydro-stratigraphic map showing outcrops of these aquicludes, the alignment of the pipeline and the location of the LPG Storage/Loading Facility is set out in **Figure 2-2**. E-W and N-S aligned hydrogeological cross sections given as **Figure 2-3**, illustrate the stratigraphical and structural relations between the hydro-stratigraphic units.





(a) Rio Bueno Alluvium Aquiclude

The Rio Bueno alluvium aquiclude was deposited by the Rio Bueno River, forming a N-S aligned coastal flood plain occupying an area of about 0.8km² (1.25 x 0.63 km) at the mouth of the river.

The Rio Bueno alluvium is composed primarily of limestone sand and gravel in a matrix of terrestrial clays, with inter-bedded layers of peat. Its thickness has not been established, but coastal alluviums in St. Mary exceed 30m (100 ft) in thickness. The Rio Bueno alluvium is underlain by Coastal aquiclude at depth.

Although there is expected to be a perennial water table within the alluvium with elevations approximating the river stage, the Bengal Farm has not developed wells within the Rio Bueno alluvium to water their livestock (dairy and beef cattle), implying that it is a low permeability alluvium – hence its classification as an aquiclude i.e. a geological strata that does not support economic yield from springs and/or wells.

(b) Coastal Aquiclude

In the Rio Bueno area the Coastal aquiclude is composed primarily of limestone reef rubble and blocks of chalk deposited down slope of the Montpelier hinterland, in the late Miocene (Mines & Geology, 1974). Exposures in the recent road cuts along the North Coast Highway in the vicinity of Rio Bueno and as Holland Hill is approached, shows relatively thin and discontinuous bauxitic soils on the surface and minor karstification throughout the depth of the limestone exposure. The absence of karstification in the limestone is a clear indication of relatively low permeability and its classification as an aquiclude. The main water resources product from Coastal aquiclude is surface runoff.

There is no known spring or well located within Rio Bueno area to indicate the presence of a water table. If present, fresh groundwater would most likely occur as a thin lens floating on seawater at depth, with little if any potential to serve as a source for water supply.

2.3 Surfacewater Hydrology

The Rio Bueno (river) is a perennial stream which is the main surface drain of the Dry Harbour Mountains limestone aquifer. It rises as a large karstic resurgence known as the Dornock bluehole at a geological contact between limestone aquiclude and limestone aquifer, some 8kms inland, just north of Stewart Town. Its flow regime is characterised by relatively high dry season flows and low broad wet season peaks. The river has a reliable yield of 246,240m³/d (54.2 migd) sustained entirely by groundwater discharge from the limestone aquifer. Contribution from the slopes of the Rio Bueno (river) is very small.

The raised reef terraces are drained by shallow, dry, limestone gullies that carry flow for a few hours after significant rainfall events.

2.4 Groundwater Hydrology

There are no significant fresh groundwater resources within the Rio Bueno area. A conclusion which is consistent with the hydraulic characterisation of the relevant geological formations as aquicludes, the absence of surface springs, submarine springs and/or wells.

3. SURFACE DRAINAGE

3.1 Catchment Area

The proposed LPG Storage/Loading Terminal is situated at Holland Hill within a 63.9ha (157.7ac) surface catchment shown in **Figure 3-1**. The boundaries of the catchment area were delineated using the topographic map of the area and its environs at a scale of 1:12,500 published by the Jamaica Survey Department.

Figure 3-1: LOCATION OF THE HOLLAND HILL CATCHMENT TRELAWNY



The catchment boundaries and flow paths determined from the review of the topography, were confirmed during field reconnaissance of the area carried out on 2008 March 14. The flow directions are indicated by arrows which point in the direction of flow and the approximate location of the LPG Storage/Loading Terminal shown as a hatched box also on **Figure 3-1**.

3.2 Drainage Patterns

Natural surface runoff generated on the catchment is conveyed by a seasonal gully which becomes active only in response to rainfall of sufficient magnitude to generate runoff. Flow is in a southwest to northeast direction and is discharged into the sea about 300m (1,000 ft) north of the town of Rio Bueno.

The natural drainage pattern of the catchment has been significantly (and permanently) altered by the recent construction of the Rio Bueno by-pass section of the North Coast Highway. The approximate alignment of the highway within the catchment is also shown in **Figure 3-1**. This elevated roadway has divided the catchment into two sub-areas. The area to the south of the highway is designated Area A and that located to its north - Area B.

The North Coast Highway functions as a barrier to flow from Area A. Runoff is now discharged via an earthen road-side drain along the southern side of the highway. There is a topographic high within this drain near to the parochial road leading south, such that discharge via the road-side drain is to the southeast on the one hand and to the northwest on the other.

A similar drain is located on the northern side of the highway and disposes of flow generated on the highway pavement itself.

Note that peak runoff generated within Area A would affect the site of the LPG Storage/Loading Terminal only in the event that its magnitude exceeded the capacity of the southern road-side drain, over-topped the elevated pavement of the highway and also exceeded the carrying capacity of the northern road-side drain.

The drainage pattern within the site of the LPG Storage/Loading Terminal itself was determined using the spot elevation data generated by a survey plan of the site. The contours developed from these measurements together with the flow directions are shown in **Figure 3-2**. Note that flow from the site is to the northeast toward Lot #1 currently occupied by Tankweld Ltd. and not toward the northern road-side drain, located at the property's southern boundary. The topography suggested that surface runoff on the site will occur primarily as sheet flow given the absence of a defined surface channel.



3.3 Determination of Peak Discharges.

(a) Computational Method

Peak runoff was estimated using the Rational Method. It is an empirical method applicable to small catchments with simple drainage patterns in which the time of concentration is less than the duration of the storm, causing the runoff. Peak runoff (Q_p) is given by the model given below: -

 $Q_p = CIA$,

Where:

Q_p is peak discharge in cfs,

C is a dimensionless runoff coefficient between 0 and 1.0, dependent on the catchment characteristics;

I is rainfall intensity in inches/hour, and

A is the catchment area in acres.

(b) Data Requirements

The basic data requirements for input into the model and their determination are described below: -

(i) Runoff Coefficient

The values of C used in the computations were obtained from published literature (Chow 1964). Area A was adjudged to be most akin to woodland, with above average infiltration rate, and a coefficient of 0.1 assigned accordingly. Area B most closely approximated residential area having coefficients ranging from 0.30 to 0.50. The most conservative value of 0.50 was adopted for this area. A "C value" of 0.30 was adopted for the site of the LPG Terminal in its natural state. This is the maximum value assigned to unimproved areas. It was conservatively assumed that the entire site of the LPG Terminal would be covered with buildings or pavement when constructed, and a "C value" of 1.0 assigned for the computation of the post-project flows.

(ii) Rainfall Intensity

Rainfall depth for storms of 24-hour duration with return periods form 2 to 100 years obtained from the Jamaica Meteorological Office for rain gauges located in the parish of Trelawny are given in **Table 3-1**.

The rain gauge at Braco was the closest to Holland Hill and adjudged to be representative of that area.

 Table 3-1:	24-HOUR	FOR VARI	OUS RETU	RN PERIOI)\$	
	AT BRAC	D, TRELAV	VNY			
			Return Pe	riod (year)		
Rainfall						
Depth						
	2	5	10	25	50	100
mm	101	146	186	237	274	312
inch	3.46	5.00	6.37	8.12	9.39	10.69

The Meteorological Office has also developed a series of 6 No. typical time distributions of the 24 hour rainfall. These are shown in **Table 3-2**. Distribution C which was recommended by the Flood Plain Mapping Project was adopted for these investigations. The highest rainfall intensity indicated by this distribution occurs between hours 6 to 7, was used in the computations.

able 3-2: TYPICAL TIME DISTRIBUTION FOR 24-HOUR RAINFALL							
	IN JAMAICA	\					
Hour	Distribution	Distribution	Distribution	Distribution	Dictribution	Distribution	
TIOUI	A	B	C	Distribution	F	F	
			· ·	5	L		
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	
- 1	0.066	0.040	0.023	0.006	0.000	0.000	
	0.130	0.080	0.060	0.042	0.010	0.003	
	0.203	0.110	0.100	0.078	0.033	0.010	
4 5	0.233	0.100	0.143	0.113	0.000	0.032	
6	0.353	0.263	0.230	0.100	0.123	0.053	
7	0.700	0.263	0.230	0.100	0.123	0.070	
- / .	0.003	0.555	0.376	0.350	0.275	0.100	
9	0.040	0.070	0.400	0.000	0.366	0.230	
10	0.000	0.660	0.466	0.410	0.000	0.240	
11	0.000	0.643	0.603	0.630	0.486	0.200	
12	0.976	0.796	0.630	0.630	0.500	0.366	
13	0.996	0.836	0.696	0.633	0.516	0.396	
14	1.000	0.890	0.766	0.663	0.516	0.418	
15	1.000	0.923	0.813	0.706	0.583	0.478	
16	1.000	0.946	0.830	0.733	0.643	0.513	
17	1.000	0.973	0.850	0.773	0.680	0.543	
18	1.000	0.986	0.910	0.836	0.733	0.560	
19	1.000	0.986	0.946	0.876	0.796	0.603	
20	1.000	0.993	0.973	0.900	0.830	0.663	
21	1.000	0.996	0.983	0.950	0.916	0.860	
22	1.000	1.000	0.993	0.980	0.970	0.880	
23	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.993	0.990	0.913	
24	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	1.000	

(iii) Catchment Area

The areal extent of Area A, Area B and the project site were determined to be 105.5, 52.2 and 2.6 acres, respectively. Determinations were made using a planometer.

(c) Peak Discharge

The estimates of peak flows from using the data presented in Section 3.3(b) above produced the peak discharge values set out in **Table 3-3**. Note that the impact of the constructed project on runoff from Area B was of the order of 5% increase in peak discharge rate. This result is consistent with the relatively small size of the project site in relation to that of Area B.

	(HOLLAND I	HILL) CATC	HMENT			
I						
		_		Returr	n Period (ye	ear)
Catchment	Scenario	Parameter	Unit			
Area						
				25	50	100
		P ₂₄	in	9.33	10.88	12.28
		i	in/hr	1.36	1.59	1.79
А	Pre-project	А	acre	105.5	105.5	105.5
		С		0.1	0.1	0.1
		Qp	cfs	14.4	16.8	18.9
		P ₂₄	in	9.33	10.88	12.28
		i	in/hr	1.36	1.59	1.79
В	Pre-project	А	acre	52.2	52.2	52.2
		С		0.5	0.5	0.5
		Qp	cfs	35.6	41.5	46.8
		P ₂₄	in	9.33	10.88	12.28
		i . 24	in/hr	1 36	1.59	1.79
B	Post-project	A	acre	52.2	52.2	52.2
	, corproject	 C*		0.52	0.52	0.50
		- ŭ	cfs	37.3	43.5	49.1
		r				

3.4 Adequacy of Southern Road-side Drain

The flow patterns described in Section 3.2 indicated that surface runoff generated within the Area A was likely to impact on the plant site in the event that the highway drain did not have sufficient capacity and the highway was overtopped. The capacity of the drain to convey the peak flows was determined using Manning's equation for uniform flow. It is given as: -

$$Q = (1.49 \text{AR}^{2/3} \text{S}^{1/2})/n \tag{1}$$

where: Q is discharge in cfs,

A is flow area of the channel section in square feet,

R is the hydraulic radius,

S is the channel slope, and,

n is a roughness coefficient dependent on the channel properties.

Equation may be rearranged as

$$AR^{2/3} = nQ/1.49S^{1/2}$$

(2)

The expression $AR^{2/3}$ is known as the section factor for uniform flow computation. If the parameters on the right hand side of Equation 2 are known then the section factor can be determined. The relationship between the station factor and the depth of flow in a channel with a specified geometry is given in the nomogram presented as **Figure 3-3**.



Figure 3-3: RELATIONSHIP BETWEEN THE STATION FACTOR AND THE DEPTH OF FLOW IN A CHANNEL (CHOW 19_)

Determination of each of the parameters used to compute the station factor is discussed in turn below. A roughness coefficient (n) of 0.033 was adopted for this drain. It is the value assigned to straight excavated earth channels containing grass/weeds. The channel slope was estimated from survey measurements of the ground surface along the highway alignment, shown in **Figure 3-4** for ease of reference. A channel slope of 0.0209 was determined. Field measurement of the dimensions of the drain indicated that it is approximately trapezoidal in geometry with a bottom width of 3 feet and side slope of 1:1.5. The maximum allowable depth of flow within the drain i.e. without breaching the road surface is about 3.3 feet.



The flow depths calculated from the foregoing data for the peak discharges determined for the 25, 50 and 100 year storms are as set out in **Table 3-4** below. These data indicated that the roadside drain was of sufficient capacity to dispose of the peak flows generated in Area A.

Table 3-4:	FLOW DEPTH ROAD-SIDE D COAST HIGHN	S IN THE SOU RAIN OF THE N VAY AT HOLLA	THERN Iorth And Hill
	Re	eturn Period (ye	ar)
Parameter	25	50	100
Dischage (cfs)	14.40	16.80	18.90
Station Factor	2.20	2.57	2.89
Flow depth (ft)	0.78	0.82	1.05

3.5 Flooding Potential

The potential for flooding of the site of the LPG Terminal was virtually eliminated by the construction of the North Coast Highway. Surface Runoff generated on the site is readily disposed of by sheet flow down slope over relatively even surface with no significant surface depressions to facilitate ponding and/or flooding.

However, there is anecdotal evidence that surface runoff from the site of the LPG Terminal contributes to flooding of Lot #1, presently used as a works yard by Tankweld Ltd. The capacity of the shallow depression at Lot #1 is readily exceeded and sheet flow continues down slope along the parochial road into and through the town of Rio Bueno to the sea. There was no evidence of major scouring or gullying resulting from preconstruction runoff.

The post-construction increase in surface runoff is expected to be less than 5%, an insignificant increase and one that could not justify mitigation measures, particularly in the context of there having been a major reduction in storm flows occasioned by the construction of the North Coast Highway.

4. DISPOSAL OF TREATED SEWAGE EFFLUENT

The sewage treatment facility proposed for the LPG Storage Loading Terminal involves the following components: -

- Initial screening to remove relatively large debris;
- Bio-digester Septic Tank for anaerobic digestion of the sewage;
- Reed-bed and gravel filter for particulate and nutrient removal, and
- Re-use of the treated sewage effluent for on-site irrigation using buried pipes.

The sewage load was computed at $3.50 \text{m}^3/\text{d}$ (770igpd), assuming unit water demand of $0.25 \text{m}^3/\text{d}/\text{capita}$, times the 14 operational crew manning the Terminal. The per capita water consumption was conservatively assumed to be that used by the National Water Commission for urban households.

Assuming evapo-transpiration of an average 5mm/d from grass and shrub, then the unit irrigation water demand would be $0.01 \text{m}^3/\text{d/m}^2$ (0.2igpd/ft^2). In this event, the $3.50 \text{m}^3/\text{d}$ of treated sewage effluent can be expected to irrigate 350m^2 (0.09 ac) of green area. The potential area available for green area at the site of the LPG Storage/Loading Terminal exceeds $1,000 \text{m}^2$ (0.25 ac), an area which far exceeds the minimum requirement for irrigable land to dispose of the available treated sewage effluent generated by the sewage plant. The preliminary site plan for the Terminal showing potential green area is given as **Figure 4-1**.

For those rain days for which irrigation is not necessary, then the infiltrating rainwater would flush the treated sewage effluent from the soil and below the root zone, to percolate to the water table at depth, such as may exist within the Coastal Aquiclude. Given the relatively small quantities involved, the seasonal nature of such flushing and that there is no likely groundwater potential within the Coastal aquiclude, the issue of groundwater pollution does not arise.



Figure 4-1: **POTENTIAL GREEN AREA AT LPG TERMINAL**

5. WATER SUPPLY OPTION

Tank-Weld proposes to obtain the water it needs for fire-fighting from the National Water Commission who are in the process of installing a new pipeline, the alignment of which will take it conveniently pass the LPG Terminal site at Holland Hill on the northern side of the North Coast Highway. This source is expected to have the ability to provide an adequate and reliable supply to sustain the 908m³ (200,000 imperial gallon) tank to be constructed at the LPG Terminal for fire-fighting purposes.

Alternatively, water for fire-fighting may be obtained from the Rio Bueno (river) at the old Bengal Bridge. A low flow frequency analysis included as Figure 5-1 indicated a reliable yield (i.e. the annual minimum 7-day flow with a 1 in 10 year return period) of

2.85m³/s (246,240m³/d or 54.2 migd), which can easily satisfy the fire-fighting demand of the LPG Terminal. In this event Tank-Weid would have full control over its primary fire-fighting water supply source and now have the added security of a standby facility as the NWC connection would be operated as a secondary (or reserve) water supply service. Such a decision must of necessity be informed by a cost/benefit analysis which weights the cost of using treated NWC domestic water against the capital and operational cost associated with taking un-treated fresh water from the Rio Bueno (river). Such an analysis is outside the scope of this assignment.

The Rio Bueno (river) source would require establishment of a surface diversion and pumping station say at the old Bengal Bridge and a transmission pipeline to the LPG Terminal. A licence to abstract the water from the river would be required from the Water Resources Authority at a minimal cost (now \$15,000) and there would be no additional cost for the water itself, except for the capital and operational/maintenance cost of this alternative water supply system.

5. SUMMARY OF RESULTS

The examination of the hydrological considerations associated with the establishment and operation of an LPG Terminal at Holland Hill has concluded as follows: -

Surface Drainage

- (i) The site of the proposed LPG Terminal is located within a 64 ha (158 ac) catchment composed of Coastal limestone aquiclude;
- (ii) The North Coast Highway has permanently divided this catchment into two independent sub-catchments, that south of the highway (sub-catchment A) having an area of 42.7ha (105.5ac) with a drainage pattern characterised by channel flow, whereas the sub-catchment north of the highway (sub-catchment B) has an area of 21.1ha (52.2ac) which is characterised by sheet flow;
- (iii) The southern road-side drain of the North Coast Highway was determined to have carrying capacity in excess of the surface runoff generated on sub-catchment A in a 100-year storm event, such that there would be no future flow from that subcatchment to sub-catchment B; the northern road-side drain of the North Coast highway would readily dispose of the surface runoff generated on the highway pavement, whereas the significantly reduced surface runoff from sub-catchment B would continue to flood a natural, shallow, surface depression presently occupied by Tankweld construction Ltd, before flowing to the north-east into and through the roadside town of Rio Bueno;
- (iv) The LPG Terminal which is located at the southern up-stream boundary of subcatchment B would not be subject to flooding in a 100 year storm event;
- (v) The post development surface runoff in sub-catchment B would be increased by 5% with the construction of the LPG terminal, which would be off-set against the permanent and larger 28% reduction in pre-construction flow occasioned by the construction of the North Coast Highway, a situation which makes mitigation measures against the increased post-construction flow, un-necessary;

Pollution of Surfacewater and/or Groundwater

- (vi) There is no perennial or seasonal stream within the Holland Hill surfacewater catchment and no known groundwater potential in the Coastal Aquiclude underlying the LPG Terminal so there is no potential for the pollution of surfacewater and/or groundwater resources;
- (vii) The potential size of the green area at the LPG Terminal far exceeds that required for the disposal of treated sewage effluent generated on-site;

Water Source for fire-fighting

(viii) The use of the Rio Bueno (river) as the primary water supply source for firefighting, with the NWC supply serving as a standby system should be examined.

End of Report

7. **REFERENCES**

CHOW, VEN TE (19__): Open Channel Hydraulics. Published by McGraw-Hill International Editions. Civil Engineering Series.

CHOW, VEN TE (1964): Handbook of Applied Hydrology – a compendium of water resources technology. Ven Te Chow – Editor in Chief. Published by McGraw-Hill Book Company.

MINES AND GEOLOGY DIVISION (1974): Geological Sheet 11 – Discovery Bay, Jamaica (Provisional). Published April 1974, Government of Jamaica.

SURVEY DEPARTMENT OF JAMAICA (1971): Topographic Sheet 61C, Scale 1:12,500. Ja. 200 Preliminary Edition. Government of Jamaica

LPG FACILITY IN RIO BUENO TRELAWNEY – JAMAICA

PROPOSED OUTLINE

GENERAL EMERGENCY RESPONSE/CONTINGENCY PLAN

1.0 Introduction

Industrial Gases Limited will be constructing a Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG) importation and bulk storage terminal, including the necessary infrastructure that will facilitate the receipt and storage of LPG from ships and the loading and delivery of LPG in bulk and cylinders at Rio Bueno in the Parish of Trelawney.

This proposed plan will be based on International Guidelines for Emergency Response for the Natural Gas Industry and the National Oil and Hazardous Materials Contingency Plan for Jamaica and the Terms of Reference.

1.1 The Threat

LPG may not produce harmful effects on the environment but there are risks associated with its transportation, storage and handling. Threats to the Liquefied Petroleum Product (LPG) facility comes from both natural and man-made disasters such as hurricanes, earthquakes, leakages, chemical spills, fires and sabotage.

1.1.2 Manmade and other Hazards:

The associated risk with the transfer pipeline, the storage and loading facility are;

1.1.2.1 Pipeline;

- Damage/rupture caused by collision of ships during berthing operations
- Rupture of transfer hose from ship to shore

- Leakage from transfer and normal operation
- Unauthorized access and sabotage at the port
- Leakage caused from deterioration as a result of corrosion
- Accidental rupture during excavation for other services
- Rupture/leaks from natural disaster Earthquakes
- Industrial and Terrorist activities
- Breakdown of written procedures governing the operation and maintenance of the pipeline and the actions to be taken in the event of an incident

1.1.2.2 Storage and Loading Facility:

- Unauthorized access and sabotage at the storage and loading facilities
- Industrial unrest and Terrorist activities
- Rupture/leaks of storage tanks from Earthquakes
- Rupture/leakage resulting in a fire and possible explosion.
- Ignition sources cigarettes, open flames, lightening, spark ignition vehicles

1.2 Assumptions

In the event of a natural or man-made disaster, and given enough warning, it is expected that the necessary arrangements will be in place for coordinating a successful emergency response or evacuation.

1.3 Scope

This plan applies to all emergency preparedness and response activities at the port reception facility, the transfer pipeline to storage tanks and the automated filling system into bulk trucks, trailers and cylinders, as well as during delivery of products.

2.0 <u>AIM</u>

The primary aim of this general plan is to carry out timely and coordinated response to reduce loss of life and property in the event of a disaster or incident.

3.0 <u>EXECUTION</u>

3.1 Concept of Operations.

Proposed Emergency Response/Contingency Plan for LPG Facility in Rio Bueno, Holland Hill, Trelawney Cdr. Michael Rodriguez – Consultant March 2008

This plan is effective for all types of emergencies and will come into force whenever there is an actual disaster or imminent threat of a disaster. It establishes basic guidelines that will assist the management of the LPG Facility in Rio Bueno to carry out the necessary preparedness and response functions in the event of a threat or disaster

3.1.1 Assignments and Tasking Details/ Responsibilities

The Management team headed by the Managing Director is the overall coordinating body for all emergencies at the LPG Facility and is responsible for ensuring:

- the implementation of awareness programmes on all aspects of disasters for staff and visitors at the Facility.
- that an identified Emergency Operational Centre (EOC) is established and activated once a warning is given.
- that a member of the team is appointed as the Emergency Coordinator.
- that operational plans are put into effect by activating warning systems and response agencies
- coordinating the relay/dissemination of information pertaining to the disaster/emergency and its impact.
- the coordination of rescue, relief and/or evacuation operations
- co-opting other personnel as may be required during emergencies

- that a Register is kept at the site and all necessary information is made available to the response agencies on request in the event of a disaster.
- that all response agencies to which specific responsibilities have been assigned are truly prepared to carry out these responsibilities.

4.0 COORDINATING INSTRUCTIONS.

Warning of impending or actual emergency/disaster situations may be disseminated in a number of ways (Radio & Television Stations, Written Notices, etc). These will of course depend on the type of situation.

4.1 Hurricanes

The following AMBER warnings will be issued prior to a hurricane:

•	AMBER	-	Hurricane Advisory 48 hours before ETA
•	AMBER PHASE 1	-	Issue Hurricane 'Watch' 36 hours before ETA
•	AMBER PHASE 2	-	Issue Hurricane 'Warning' 24 hours before ETA
•	AMBER PHASE 3	-	12 hours before ETA
•	AMBER PHASE 4	-	The Blow
•	AMBER PHASE 5	_	The Recovery

4.2 Earthquake:

Jamaica is located in a geologically active zone; and is therefore, subject to earthquakes. They are unpredictable and may strike suddenly and unexpectedly. Depending on the magnitude of the earthquake, it may result in casualties, deaths, landslides and damage to structures thereby causing disruption of activities.

4.3 Fire:

A fire alarm must be raised by the person(s) who sees the fire by shouting "FIRE, FIRE!"

NOTE: All reports of major emergencies must be directed to the Managing Director and/or the designated Member of the management team who is appointed as the Emergency Coordinator.

50. ADMINISTRATION AND LOGISTIC ARRANGEMENTS

5.1 Shelter location/Safe areas:

Emergency assembly areas and shelter locations to be identified and signs posted.

6.0. COMMAND AND CONTROL

6.1 EOC Location:

In the event of an emergency, the EOC will be established as directed by the management team.

- The EOC will remain operational throughout all phases of an incident.
- Ensure that the EOC is properly equipped with radios, status boards, maps, weather charts, etc.

6.2 Communications:

Establish communication links (with back ups) with all the relevant agencies and be prepared to maintain these links and disseminate information as necessary

7.0 <u>AGENCY ROLES/FUNCTIONS/RESPONSIBILITIES</u>

Office of Disaster Preparedness and Emergency Management (ODPEM)

Ensure maximum disaster awareness and preparedness of efficient coordination of emergency response to any threat. (Education and Awareness).

Jamaica Fire Brigade (JFB):

Ensure that fire escape routes are appropriately marked, and that adequate fire fighting equipment is in place. (Trained Fire-fighters/equipment to respond)

Jamaica Defence Force (JDF):

Provide emergency support for medical service, evacuation, search & rescue and fire fighting.

Jamaica Constabulary Force (JCF):

Provide security services and the on-scene coordination of crowd control in the event of a emergency response, disaster or the threat of a disaster.

Red Cross Society of Jamaica (RCSJ):

Provide emergency first aid and services in the area of rescue and relief.

ANNEX "A"

EMERGENCY CONTACT LIST

LPG FACILITY

- Managing Director
- Board Chairman
- Key Staff Members

ODPEM

NEPA

Jamaica Fire Brigade

Jamaica Defence Force

Jamaica Constabulary Force

Red Cross - Jamaica

OVERSEAS ASSISTANCE

ANNEX "B"

RESOURCE LIST

The following is a suggested list of the resource materials, which should be made available for emergencies:

Maps (appropriately scaled)

VHF Portable Ratios

Fire Extinguishers

Emergency Patch Kits

First Aid Kits and Stretchers

Portable Fuel Container (Kerosene, etc.)

Waterproof Flashlight and Batteries

Personal Protective Equipment

Rain Coats

Binoculars

Hurricane Lamps with Fuel

Ply Boards, Hammer, Hand Saw, Nails etc

D-Links

Ropes

* Include a list of the locations where these will be kept

ANNEX "C"

LPG SPILL

INTRODUCTION:

LPG is a mixture of propane, butane, isobutene, propene, and butanes. The composition of LPG varies from supplier to supplier and from season to season. Petroleum gas has an energy content of approximately 99,000 BTU per gallon. The main hazard of LPG is its flammability. As a rule of thumb, the lower explosive limit (LEL) of LPG is about 2%. When the concentration of LPG vapour in air is between the lower and upper explosive limits and an ignition source is introduced, the vapour will ignite, sometimes by powerful explosion.

An LPG leak in a confined space is especially hazardous, because the vapour concentration can rapidly surpass the LEL, and LPG ignited within a confined space usually explodes. Because LPG vapour is heavier than air when at typical ambient temperatures, at high concentrations it tends to remain near the ground and settle in low areas. For this reason, it may mix with air and disperse relatively slowly, prolonging the explosive hazards.

ACTION IN CASE OF A MAJOR SPILL

- Immediately inform Supervisor/Staff member/ Laboratory Management Team (Factory Response Team?)
- Shut down all machinery in the area
- Evacuate injured/non essential staff
- Inform neighbouring Communities/Facilities as necessary
- Confirm accuracy of report and notify ODPEM, NEPA, JDF and/or JCF as appropriate.

- The Coordinator must maintain contact with the Response Team and all other Agencies involved.
- Proper equipment must be kept on hand for rescue operations (Neutralizing Agents, First-Aid Kit, dry clothing, ropes, flashlights, VHF Radios, Stretcher, etc).
- A comprehensive report to be prepared by the Coordinator and presented to LPG Facilities Head Office soon after the operation ends.
- A Debrief to be arranged so that lessons learned, methodologies, etc can be discussed and preventative actions taken in the future where necessary.

ANNEX "D"

HURRICANE INSTRUCTIONS

INTRODUCTION

This instruction lays down the procedures to be adopted and actions to be taken within the LPG Facility should a hurricane approach/strike Jamaica.

HURRICANE CHARACTERISTICS

SEASON	:	Commences 01 June to 30 November, but may occur at any time
SPEED	:	Speed of Advance of approximately 12 – 15 knots (20-25 km/hr).
COURSE	:	Normally WNW to NW during the initial stages but curves towards the North as it progresses (in this Hemisphere).
WIND	:	Wind speeds generally in excess of 75 knots (135 km/hr) but may gust up to 170 knots (ALLEN Aug. 1980).
SURGE	:	Storm surge may be experienced if hurricane passes close offshore (3 – 10 kilometres).
WIDTH	:	Width of destruction 170 – 250 km
RAINFALL	:	Up to 450mm in the first 2 hours
LULL	:	A deceptive lull lasting approximately 30 minutes occurs whenever the Centre (EYE) of the hurricane passes.

START OF HURRICANE SEASON

- 1. Ensure that all necessary preparedness measures are taken: (in each location)
 - a. Confirmation of full insurance coverage.
 - b. Update of contact list and staff contact information
 - c. Physical inspection of buildings and assets
 - d. Confirmation of readiness of battery-operated radios and flashlights.
 - e. Confirmation of readiness of emergency supplies.
 - f. Confirmation of readiness of fire fighting and other safety equipment.
 - g. Confirmation of proper storage of inventory on pallets or shelves.
 - h. Conformation of security arrangements in place.
 - i. Confirmation of the proper protection of all office equipment, records, files, computer back-up data, etc.

THE WARNING SYSTEM

The following warnings will be issued prior to a hurricane. LPG Facility employees and visitors alike are required to pay careful attention to these warnings, as there are certain procedures to follow after each warning. (**Signage will be required in each Location**)

•	WARNING	-	Issue Hurricane Advisory
•	PHASE -1	-	Issue Hurricane Watch 36 hrs before ETA.
•	PHASE - 2	-	24 hours before ETA
•	PHASE - 3	-	12 hours before ETA
•	PHASE - 4	-	The Blow
•	PHASE - 5	-	Business Recovery

The Managing Director (or in his/her absence, his/her Deputy) is to access the hurricane threat in consultation with MET Office and the ODPEM. He/She is to bear in mind the time available and the actions to be taken at each phase of the warning system.

Depending on the ETA of the Hurricane (day/night/week end), he/she may decide to vary the issue of different phases to permit the completion of preparation in working hours whenever possible.

ACTION AT AMBER

The following action is to be taken:

All employees and visitors are to be alerted to the possible hurricane threat. Employees on leave are to make contact with the Head Office. Everyone is to remain alert either to a cancellation of the warning or an escalation of the hurricane threat.

ACTION AT PHASE 1. (36 hours before ETA)

With the hurricane watch in effect, the activities to be carried out should include procuring plastic bags, nails, boards, identifying storage areas, etc.

- Check first- aid stores
- Place plastic bags (appropriate sizes) near to critical equipment and vital records for easy access.
- Brief employees and delegate responsibility to various individuals.
- Ensure security personnel are properly briefed as to their responsibility
- Ensure that there are no areas that will encourage water build-up in high risk areas.

- Secure outdoor equipment and loose objects
- Ensure adequate amount of kerosene for lanterns.
- Check batteries, radios, flashlights and hurricane lamps

ACTION AT PHASE 2 - (24 hours before ETA)

At this stage, preparedness activities should be intensified with the sole purpose of completing all plans in time for the visitors and employees (who are not required) to be sent home and the locations secured for minimum damage.

The steps to be taken include:

- Continue to listen to the advisory issued by the MET Office and your Head Office (who should be updating the tracking map).
- Review the communications system
- Employees in each location to begin battening down operations.
- All electrical and office equipment, documents/files to be placed into plastic bags and stored in safe areas.

ACTION AT PHASE 3 - (12 hours before ETA)

- Brief the employees on the activities to be undertaken for the remaining period and for reporting back to work after the hurricane has passed.
- Carry out final battening of windows, exists, storage of equipment, records, storage of drinking water and filling of empty containers.

- Carry out final checks on the premises at each location, ensuring proper document security, etc.
- Turn off all water mains, gas lines (secure Gas Cylinders) and circuit breakers close to the supply source.
- All employees (including Management Team) to leave the facility locations for home.
- Ensure proper arrangements are in place for the security personnel that will be remaining at the facility.

PHASE 4. - (The Blow)

- Wherever you are, stay indoors. Do no go outside unless it is absolutely necessary.
- Do not open doors/windows facing the full force of the wind.
 Doors/windows opposite the wind may be opened if this is essential.
- Listen to the radio for information and updates.
- Wait for official word that it is safe to leave the security of where you are.

PHASE 5 - (The Recovery)

Once the All-Clear signal has been given, all employees must return to the facility sites as soon as possible. Persons will be designated to carry out preliminary damage assessment. No clean up activities are to be done before the damage assessment is carried out.

1. DAMAGE ASSESSMENT: (take Pictures, Note date/time/location etc)

- Organise a survey team and increase security (if necessary)
- Report any broken mains and fallen utility wires. Check for gas and fuel leaks.
- Inspect all buildings, trails, office equipment, cabins etc., for damage.
- Evaluate and note damages, prepare further proof with photographs and other valuable aids.

2. CLEAN UP EXERCISE:

- Begin essential repairs if the insurance company does not require a first hand view of the overall damages.
- Document all repairs in a systematic manner showing time, labour, material, job number and location.
- Start clean up activities as soon as possible.
- All hurricane-related expenses are to be kept in a separate file/ledger so as to facilitate easier and more accurate accountability.

DUTIES OF THE MANAGING DIRECTOR

- Ensure that prior to the start of the Hurricane Season; the LPG Facility is prepared for hurricanes.
- Review and update the Hurricane Plan.

In the event of a Hurricane Threat, the Managing Director will, in consultation with ODPEM and MET Office issue the warning phases.
 Bearing in mind the time available and the action to be taken at each phase of the warning system.

Note: Depending on the actual ETA (day/night) he/she may vary the issue of the different phases to permit the completion of preparation in working hours whenever possible.

• Supervise the Recovery Phase until normality has been restored in all the locations.

ANNEX "E"

EARTHQUAKE

INTRODUCTION:

Earthquakes give no prior warning and the effects can be catastrophic and devastating. While nothing can be done to stop an earthquake from occurring, mitigation of the disaster effects can be achieved through prior preparation and constant awareness of the precautionary measures and response procedures to be followed during and after the event.

ACTIONS DURING AN EARTHQUAKE: (take Pictures of damages and document)

- Keep calm, do not panic
- Get under a sturdy table, desk, bed or supported doorway.
- Stay away from glass windows/doors that might shatter.
- Watch out for cabinets, book-cases and furniture that might FALL.
- Do not light matches or turn on lights.
- Watch out for falling ceiling tiles, light poles, falling rocks, change in water levels, broken mains, land slides, lighting fixtures, uneven road surfaces, etc.
- Turn off any electric or gas appliances
- Do not leave your shelter until you are told to do so or when you consider it safe to do so.
- Be prepared for after shocks.
- If fire appears, activate the fire alarm.
- After evacuating the building, proceed directly to the designated assembly area for head count.
- If anyone is missing; a check of the building must be made by the appropriate authority.
- Do not return to the building until the "all clear" is given.

ANNEX "F'

FIRE

INTRODUCTION:

Fires are mainly caused as a result of factors such as

- Sabotage or carelessness on the part of individuals
- Electrical short circuit
- Ignition from lightening or vehicles
- Spill or leakage from the transfer of LPG

One should therefore, try to eliminate these causes as far as possible.

FIRE ALARM:

The sounding of the Fire Alarm is the ringing of the bell, which is located in strategic areas of the facility.

The function of the Alarm signal is to warn everyone that a state of emergency has arisen and that they should evacuate the building immediately. The sounding of the Fire Alarm should be taken for the signal for complete **EVACUATION** of the building.

ACTIONS IN CASE OF FIRE:

- Sound the Fire Alarm/shout **''Fire, Fire!''**.
- Call the Fire Brigade immediately by the nearest available telephone.
- Evacuate the buildings by safe routes.
- Assemble at the pre-designated emergency assembly areas upwind of the Fire
- Head count is taken by the senior person on the ground to ensure that all is accounted for.
- Do not return into the building/area until the 'All-Clear' is given.
- Stay out of the way of the fire fighters.

- Render assistance and First- Aid as required.
- Only if the fire is small (and can be contained until the Fire Fighters arrive) should any attempt be made to use the Fire extinguisher/water/sand/bush to put out the fire.
- Reporting/recording of all fires should be done.

LPG FACILITY – RIO BUENO, TRELAWNEY, JAMAICA

PROPOSED OUTLINE

HAZARDOUS MATERIAL CONTINGENCY PLAN

1.0 INTRODUCTION

1.1 Purpose

This contingency plan defines the framework for preparing for and responding to emergencies involving potential environmental, health and safety incidents at the LPG Facility in Rio Bueno.

1.2 Scope

This plan applies to all emergency preparedness and response activities at the port reception facility, pipeline to storage tanks and the automated filling system into bulk trucks, trailers and cylinders, as well as during delivery of products.

- 2.0 INCIDENT INFORMATION SUMMARY Recorded report
- 3.0 DEFINITIONS Hazmat, OSC. etc
- 4.0 CLASSIFICATION Identification of Material(s) Involved, Warning Labels & Placards
- 5.0 TYPE OF HAZARDOUS MATERIAL (HAZMAT) Hazard & Risk Assessment, List, (Water Reactive?)
- 6.0 ORGANIZATION & RESPONSIBILITIES Site Management & Control (ICS), Emergency Operation Centre
- 7.0 RESPONSE FUNCTION Coordination of Resources & Information, National Response Organizations
- 8.0 COMMUNICATIONS- Internal, External, Media
- 9.0 RESOURCE LIST Evaluation of Protective Clothing & Equipment
- 10.0 SAFETY, HEALTH AND MEDICAL
- 11.0 CONTAINMENT & CLEAN-UP Control of Hazmat Release(s)

- 12.0 DECONTAMINATION Site & Personnel
- 13.0 TERMINATION How clean is Clean, Debrief Response
- 14.0 DOCUMENTATION & INVESTIGATION Training & Upgrading Plan

Pipeline & Petroleum Facility Survey

1.	Sex of res	spondent:	Male 🛛] Fe	male 🗖				
2.	Age of rea	spondent:	Under	25 yrs ⊟;	26 - 39	yrs <u>□</u> ;	40 – 65 yrs;	🗆 over (65 yrs 🗖
3.	Employm	ent Status	:	Employed		Uner	nployed 🗖	Self er	nployed 🗖
4.	Number of	f persons ir	housel	nold					
5.	Head of he	ousehold		Male 🗖	Fem	ale 🗖			
6.	Age distrib	oution of ho	usehold	: 0 – 17 🗖	18 -3	85□	36 - 65 🗖	66 and	lover⊡
7.	Main Occ □	upation Farming, a	agro-pro	cessing					
		Small bus	iness op	oerator – er	nploys 1 ·	– 10 per	sons		
		Large bus	iness op	perator – er	nploys ov	ver 10 pe	ersons		
		Clerical, a	dministr	ative, teach	ner, nurse	e, securit	ty personnel		
		Housewife	;						
		Domestic	helper/	Tradesman	/ laboure	r			
		Other							_
8.	List majo	r animals r	eared (top 3)					
9.	Major cro	ps grown ((top 3)						
	-	Vegetable	,						
		Tubers (e.	a vam)						
		Fruits	g. yanıy						
		Others							
	_								
10.	Is much c	o f the land o much	in your	communi t □ Not mu	t y idle or ch	under-u ⊡No	utilized?	Don't Know	V
11.	Are you a	ware of the	e petrol	eum facili	t y? Yes		No 🗖		
12.	Are you a	ware of an	y planr	ed develo	pment fo	or your d	community?	Yes 🗖	No 🗆
13.	. The proje □ disr	ct during t uptive	he cons □ not	struction p disruptive	hase wil □ do	l be ver	y disruptive	?	
14.	How do y	ou think t	he proje	ect will affe	ect your	commu	nity?		
		Provide jo	bs				Yes	s	No□
		Attract oth	ers to li	ve or work	in commu	unity	Yes	s⊡	No□
		Destroy na	atural er	vironment			Ye	es⊡	No□
		Damage fa	arm land	ł			Ye	es⊡	No□
		Cause/cor	ntribute	to flooding			Ye	es⊡	No□
		Have no s	ignificar	nt impact			Ye	es⊡	No□
		Create du	st and n	oise nuisar	nce during	g constru	uction Ye	es⊡	No□
15.	What type	e of Sanita	ry Conv	venience d	o you ha	ve?			
		Pit latrine;	□ Se	wage syste	m; 🗆 S	oak awa	y pit; 🛛 bio	odigester;	□ none
16.	Garbage	Disposal S	ystem						

Burn \Box ; bury \Box ; collected \Box ; stockpile on land; \Box throw in river, sea, gully,

Yes		No⊟		If yes, ans	ver (<i>a)</i> to	o (c <i>)</i> below:		
a) Describe	ə							
b) Locatior	۱							
Are you a	mer	mber of a cl	nurch, citiz	ens associa Yes	tion, you ⊑	uth club, con No⊟	nmunity group? (Ci
es answer	the	following						
a) Name o	forg	anization:						
b) Name o	f Le:	ader:						
c) Title (Pr	esid	ent etc):						
d) Telepho	ne:				_			
e) E-mail:_								